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## PURPOSE

The purpose of this study is to develop strategies to calm traffic and increase safety along Kingshighway Boulevard between Nottingham Avenue and Gravois Avenue. Kingshighway Boulevard functions as an arterial street that prioritizes vehicle traffic. Kingshighway Boulevard has two traffic lanes in each direction and traffic signal cycles are long to accommodate through traffic. Many areas along the corridor lack adequate pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure.

The land use context along this section of Kingshighway Boulevard is mostly residential with small businesses and restaurants occupying several existing commercial buildings. Side streets crossing Kingshighway Boulevard are almost exclusively residential with more and more residents wanting a more walkable community and urban experience.

Lower traffic volumes on this segment of Kingshighway Boulevard and multiple travel lanes encourage speeding along the corridor. Residents are concerned about it and its safety implications. Concrete barriers are installed in front of the homes south of Gresham Avenue to stop errant vehicles from leaving the roadway and keeping their homes safe. The corridor needs a solution that discourages speeding rather than attempts to defend itself from it.

Implementing a lane reduction strategyalong Kingshighway Boulevard would help reduce speeding, improve safety, and promote a more welcoming environment for all users of the street, including pedestrians, cyclists, and transit users. This study objectively evaluates the feasibility of a lane reduction along this section of Kingshighway Boulevard by detailing its anticipated benefits and impacts to traffic. Included in the proposed lane reduction are recommended intersection safety improvements, most notably at Kingshighway Boulevard and Neosho Street/ Christy Boulevard.




## SCOPE

To assess the feasibility of a lane reduction, this study evaluates existing conditions along Kingshighway Boulevard based on the current configuration of the corridor. Existing conditions included vehicular, pedestrian and bicyclist accommodations and traffic volumes; parking; speed; safety and the overall environment of the corridor. These conditions were then compared to a lane reduction configuration.

Both scenarios were evaluated using existing traffic volumes, focusing on the major intersections:
Kingshighway Boulevard and Nottingham Avenue
Kingshighway Boulevard and Neosho Street/Christy Boulevard
Kingshighway Boulevard and Eichelberger Street
Kingshighway Boulevard and Rhodes Avenue
Kingshighway Boulevard and Schollmeyer Avenue Kingshighway Boulevard and Gravois Avenue
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Figure 3. Existing Lane Configurations


## Lane Configuration

In the study area, Kingshighway Boulevard is a four-lane road with two travel lanes in each direction. The curb-to-curb width is approximately 60 feet from Neosho Street to Gravois Avenue. The width increases between Nottingham Avenue and Neosho Street due to the merging of two northbound lanes from Christy Boulevard; it is over 100 feet at its widest point.

The study corridor contains five signalized intersections. The four northern signals operate as part of a coordinated system maintained by the City of St. Louis. The Missouri Department of Transportation (MoDOT) operates the southern most intersection at Kingshighway Boulevard and Gravois Avenue. The lane configuration and method of traffic control at each study intersection is depicted in Figure 3

## Areas of Concern

With respect to roadway geometrics, there are several notable areas of concern along the corridor. The first is the northern most intersection of Kingshighway Boulevard Neosho Street, and Christy Boulevard.

As shown in Figure 4, Neosho Street intersects Kingshighway Boulevard with side-street stopcontrol however the southbound left turn is pulled north from this main intersection and accommodated with an exclusive turn lane Those on the east leg of Christy Boulevard traveling north and heading towards the westbound approach at the intersection must yield to those making this southbound left turn, which has heavy movement in the afternoon peak period. In addition, an active firehouse sits between Kingshighway Boulevard and Christy Boulevard.

This existing intersection configuration requires extra pavement, making the intersection larger than it needs to be, and creating unclear and unsafe paths for drivers and pedestrians.

Another area of concern is along Kingshighway Boulevard between Gresham Avenue and Bonita Avenue, shown in Figure 5. This segment has a significant horizontal curvature which is unsafe for motorists travelling at high speeds.



## Pedestrian Conditions

Sidewalks line both sides of Kingshighway Boulevard along the length of the corridor. The width of sidewalk varies by location from standard 5 -foot sidewalks to wide 15-foot sidewalks. The signalized intersections have at least one marked pedestrian crosswalk with push buttons and pedestrian signal indicators; most have more than one leg marked with pedestrian accommodations. Signalized pedestrian facilities and curb ramps are generally not compliant with the regulations set forth in the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). Sidewalk conditions vary throughout the corridor. Figure 6 shows the general crossing distance at each major intersection within the study area.

The intersection of Kingshighway Boulevard and Neosho Street is a concern also from a pedestrian standpoint since the east leg does not provide connectivity to the sidewalk on the east side of Christy Boulevard where these roads intersect. As already noted, this area has expansive pavement and several uncontrolled vehicular movements.

## ठわ

## Bicycle Amenities

Kingshighway Boulevard through the study corridor does not have bicycle accommodations or markings however it is located within a network of dedicated and shared lane facilities

Gravois Avenue, at the southern edge of the corridor, has a share-the-road configuration denoted by painted "sharrows" with dedicated bike lanes beginning further east of Kingshighway. To the east, the Gravois Avenue bike lanes connect to Morganford Road, a north-south route with "sharrows" and access to Tower Grove Park.

Eichelberger Street, cutting east-west across the middle of the corridor also has a share-theroad configuration with painted "sharrows." Eichelberger Street intersects Macklind Avenue west of the study area, another north-south route with "sharrow" bike accommodations all the way north to Oakland Avenue near Forest Park.

Christy Boulevard, running parallel to Kingshighway from Gravois Avenue until it intersects Neosho Street, has dedicated striped bike lanes in both directions.

A map of the designated bicycle routes is shown in Figure 7.



Figure 8. Transit Stops


The study corridor is served by Metro Transit and the \#95 MetroBus route. Service is provided at 15 to 30 -minute headways throughout the day with more frequent service during peak periods. There are seven southbound and seven northbound bus stops in the study area, one of which has a covered shelter. A map of the transit stops are shown in Figure 8.

## Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

Turning movement counts were collected at each of the study intersections during the weekday peak hours in September and October of 2019. Weekday peak hours occurred from 7:15 AM to 8:15 AM in the morning and from 4:00 PM to 5:00 PM in the evening. These periods were the focus of the traffic analysis. The peak hour volumes are summarized by intersection and movement in Figure 9

Traffic volumes are generally heavier going northbound in the morning and southbound in the evening. This reflects prevailing commuter traffic patterns oriented towards Interstates 44 and 64 in the morning and away from those interstates in the evening.

A substantial amount of traffic travels to and from Kingshighway Boulevard to the north and Christy Boulevard to the south during the commuter peak periods. At the Nottingham Avenue intersection, nearly 43\% of all the northbound traffic came from Christy Boulevard during the morning peak hour. In the afternoon peak hour, 37\% of the southbound traffic turned onto Christy Boulevard.

Figure 9. Existing Peak Hour Traffic Volumes



## Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

Throughout a typical day, traffic volumes and conditions along Kingshighway Boulevard vary widely. North of Neosho Street, hourly traffic volumes in the primary commuting direction for each peak hour are around 1200-1400 vehicles per hour (vph) and drop to 600-650 vph south of Neosho Street. Non-peak directional traffic volumes are approximately 500-550 vph north of Neosho Street and 350 vph south of Neosho Street for both peak hours. Northbound is the primiary commuting direction in the morning while southbound is the primary commuting direction in the afternoon.

## Daily Traffic Volumes

The daily traffic volume on Kingshighway Boulevardwas measured between Eichelberger Street and Rhodes Avenue for a period of 48 hours. The average daily traffic volume (ADT) was 11,535 vehicles per day (vpd). The hourly traffic fluctuation throughout the day by direction is depicted in Figure 10.

Traffic is heaviest northbound in the morning and southbound in the afternoon.

As a principal arterial, Kingshighway Boulevard serves major activity centers and carries large portions of urban traffic on minimal mileage. Expected volumes for urban principal arterials range from 7,000 to 27,000 vpd. The ADT for Kingshighway Boulevard falls on the low end of this range at $11,535 \mathrm{vpd}$.

Figure 10. Daily Traffic Volumes on Kingshighway Blvd
Measured between Eichelberger and Rhodes




## (7)

## Speed

Speed data was collected at two locations to quantify the 85th percentile speeds of those traveling Kingshighway Boulevard. Data was collected in December 2019 to capture school related and commuter traffic. No weekend data was collected. Speed data was collected between the morning and afternoon rush hours and in good, dry weather conditions. The speed collection locations included:

- Between Delor and Walsh Streets
- Between Finkman and Lisette Streets

Locations where speed data was collected are shown in Figure 12. Speed data is typically summarized by the 85th percentile speed, which is the speed at which 85 percent of the motoring public are traveling at or below. The 85th percentile speed is useful because it represents the collective judgment of most drivers and ignores high-speed outliers.

Speed profiles for each of the collection locations are summarized in Figures $\mathbf{1 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$. Note that the posted speed limit on Kingshighway Boulevard is 35 miles per hour (mph). The 85th percent speeds at locations 1 and 2 were $14 \%$ and $17 \%$ over the posted speed limit, respectively. The highest speeds were recorded at location 2 , with several vehicles traveling 55 to 65 mph .


Figure 13. Average Weekday Speed, Measured between Delor St and Walsh St


Figure 14. Average Weekday Speed,
Measured Between Finkman St and Lisette St




## Safety

Crash data from 2014 to 2018 (5-year period) was gathered using Missouri Department of Transportation (MoDOT) crash records. This crash data included information about crash location, severity, and type. This analysis was supplemented by a review of individual reports for injury crashes furnished by the City of St. Louis.

## Crash Location

A heat map of crashesshown in Figure $\mathbf{1 5}$ depicts the locations of all crashes recorded on the study corridor. As shown, Nottingham Avenue and Gravois Avenue were the intersections with the highest number of crashes with 90 and 67 crashes, respectively. Table 1 lists the intersections with the most crashes.

Crashes were distributed throughout the Kingshighway Boulevard corridor, although crash frequency increased approaching Gravois Avenue after Gresham Avenue. This is likely due to the horizontal curvature of the segment and higher speeds on the south end of the study corridor.

Holly Hills Avenue has an unusually high frequency of crashes for being an unsignalized side street. The majority were property damage only, however there was one disabling injury Most crashes were right-angle crashes followed by parking or parked car.

A follow-up site visit found that eastbound drivers have poor visibility looking south due to parked cars and existing trees. This movement crosses four lanes on Kingshighway Boulevard to continue on Holly Hills Boulevard. This movement, as well as the southbound left turn are prominent in the afternoon peak hour. All of these factors, combined with the propensity for motorists to speed in this area, contributes to this crash pattern.

| Table 1. Number of Crashes at <br> Major Intersections |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Location | Number of Crashes <br> $(\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 2 0 1 8 )}$ |
| Nottingham | 90 |
| Gravois | 70 |
| Holly Hills | 32 |
| Neosho | 31 |
| Eichelberger | 27 |



Figure 16. Crashes by Severity


Figure 16 and Table $\mathbf{2}$ describe crash severity for the five-year period. From 2014 to 2018, there were 396 crashes along the study corridor. Seventy-one percent (280) of the 396 crashes resulted in property damage only (PDO), 28\% (109) resulted in minor injuries, four (7\%) resulted in disabling injuries and three in fatalities.

It is noteworthy that the Gravois Avenue intersection accounted for two of the three fatal crashes and two of the four disabling injury crashes. The two fatal crashes involved pedestrians; one disabling crash involved a bicycle and the other was a left-turn crash.


Figure $\mathbf{1 7}$ describes crash type for the five-year period. The most common crash types involved rear-end crashes (103 crashes, 26\% of total), parking or parked car crashes (70, 18\%), rightangle crashes (44, 11\%), out of control crashes (42, 11\%), and passing (37, 9\%). These types of crashes are typical for urban arterials with frequent intersections and on-street parking. Pedestrian crashes made up $1 \%$ of all crashes but constituted two of the three fatalities in the corridor, as previously noted and described.

The five intersections that had the highest number of crashes generally follow the overall corridor trends of crash type. Rear end crashes were the most common type of crash at Nottingham Avenue by a significant number and are most likely a result of speeding or signal related. Gravois Avenue also had rear ends as the highest frequency crash followed by passing and left-turn crashes.


## Safety

| $\|$Table 2. Number of Crashes <br> by Severity |
| :--- |
| Severity |
| Fatal |
| Total Crashes (2014. |
| 2018) |

## 曏

## Traffic Operations

Traffic operating conditions were evaluated during the weekday morning and evening commuter peak hours. If traffic is well accommodated during peak periods, it will be accommodated at all other times of the day. Evaluation of traffic operations focused on intersection performance, since the number of vehicles that can be served at major intersections dictates Kingshighway Boulevard's capacity. Each intersection was graded as one of six levels of traffic service (LOS) from A through F. LOS E represents acceptable intersection performance in urban areas.

Existing intersection LOS for the morning and evening peak hours are illustrated in Figure 18 and Figure 19

All study intersections operate at acceptable overall levels of service - LOS C or better - in the morning and in the evening.
The eastbound and westbound thru/left at Eichelberger Street and westbound thru at Nottingham Avenue operate at a LOS E or F in the morning and evening.

- The westbound left at Neosho Street operates at LOS E in the morning.
- In the evening the eastbound right at Eichelberger Street operates at LOS F Lower LOS ratings are in part due to long traffic signal cycle lengths ( 740 seconds).



The traffic operational analysis methodology is summarized in Appendix B. Detailed intersection operating summaries are provided in Appendix C, including LOS, delay, and queue lengths by intersection approach.

As mentioned previously, the Gravois Avenue signal is part of a coordinated system along Gravois Avenue that is maintained and operated by MoDOT. The other signals are operated by the City, with all but the Schollmeyer Road signal running in coordination (it runs free).

## Parking

Kingshighway Boulevard allows on-street parking on both sides of the street from Neosho Street south to Schollmeyer Avenue Parking is prohibited along Kingshighway Boulevard between Schollmeyer Avenue and Gravois Avenue, on the east side of Kingshighway Boulevard approaching the Neosho Street intersection, and within 30 feet of all intersections.

On-street parking along Kingshighway Boulevard was observed in the field during the morning (7:00 - 9:00 AM) and evening (4:00 6:00 PM) peak periods on a weekday. On-street parking in both peak periods was observed to be 20\%-30\% utilized throughout the corridor.

On-street parking was heavily utilized in both the morning and evening peak periods adjacent to the Gateway Science Academy South campus near Schollmeyer Avenue. Onstreet parking south of Schollemeyer Avenue is used for school drop-off and pick-up but is illegal and marked with no-parking signs Parents were also observed using the open surface parking lot in the southwest quadrant of Kingshighway Boulevard and Schollmeyer Avenue.



Pavement facing southoouncion
Kingshigh way near Neosho thter section


## Pavement Conditions

Pavement conditions were evaluated during a weekday morning with dry, clear weather using the PASER evaluation system. PASER evaluates pavement surface conditions based on visual inspection. Numerical ratings from one to ten are assigned based on surface condition of the road, one being a failed condition and ten being excellent or new construction. The overall PASER rating for Kingshighway Boulevard between Nottingham Avenue and Gravois Avenue was determined to be a 6. Surface rating 6 is considered "good" with general conditions showing signs of aging but with sound structural integrity. Maintenance measures are typically crack rout and seal, patching, or sealcoating to extend the life of the pavement.


## Conceptual Design

The preferred lane reduction concept that would be implemented on Kingshighway Boulevard includes three traffic lanes - one through lane in each direction plus a center two-way left-turn lane. A second, similar option would provide a middle left-turn lane at intersections and a 22 -foot concrete median in between as an alternate lane reduction strategy. Converting roadways from four lanes to three lanes is the most common lane reduction application. This concept maintains existing on-street parking, except within 30 -feet of signalized intersections and unsignalized intersections.

The lane reduction would begin and end at Neosho Street to the north and Schollmeyer Avenue to the south, with transitions between these signals and the project limits. Neosho Street was chosen because beginning the lane reduction further north at the Nottingham Avenue intersection would significantly impact traffic; there are heavy traffic volumes traveling northbound and southbound on Kingshighway Boulevard at this point and the additional lanes are needed to accommodate the demand. The lane reduction ends at Schollmeyer Avenue because that is the last signal before the Gravios Avenue intersection, which has three lanes on the southbound approach.

To implement the lane reduction, southbound traffic would drop one travel lane as a southbound left-turn lane at Neosho Street. In the northbound direction, a travel lane is added at this intersection to keep the same number of lanes approaching the Nottingham Avenue signal.

Between Schollmeyer Avenue and Gravois Avenue, the southbound lanes would be transitioned back to the three-lane approach at the signal, and there would be only one northbound travel lane to begin with at Gravois Avenue. Figure $\mathbf{2 0}$ shows the lane reduction locations within the study corridor.


Figure 21. Preferred Lane Reduction Alternative


Figure 22. Median Alternative


## Conceptual Design

## Preferred Option

The typical cross-section with the lane reduction concept assumes the existing right-of-way width of 60 feet remains as it is today. The preferred lane reduction option includes 10-foot traffic lanes in each direction, a 10-foot center two-way left-turn lane plus 7.5 -foot outside parking lanes on each side of the street. The travel lanes would be separated from 5-foot bike lanes in each direction by a 2.5-foot striped buffer to further separate cyclists from moving car.

## Alternate Option

The alternate option proposes one 11-foot travel lane in each direction and 8-foot parking lanes on each curb. The remaining width of the street is utilized by a 22-foot wide, curbed median which would break at intersections and side streets to allow for a left-turn lane. This option would significantly narrow the width of the street between intersections but does not provide specific bicycle accommodations.

On-street parking remains interrupted for transit stops in both options. These cross sections are illustrated in Figure 21 and Figure 22.
Figure 23. Proposed Lane Configuration with Lane Reduction

## Conceptual Design

## Proposed Lane Configuration

Figure $\mathbf{2 3}$ shows the lane configurations at each intersection for the proposed lane reduction on Kingshighway Boulevard. As shown, the extents of the lane reduction would be from Neosho Street to Gravois Avenue.



## Preferred Option Recommended Improvements

The following improvements are incorporated into the conceptual design of the preferred option:

## Create "protected" intersections at Eichelberger Street, Rhodes Avenue, and

Schollmeyer Avenue. This improvement coincides with the addition of bikes lanes along both sides of Kingshighway Boulevard.

Add a concrete median between Gresham Avenue and Bonita Avenue. This is an additional traffic calming countermeasure along the segment with a horizontal curve and an existing problem with errant vehicles leaving the roadway and entering residential properties.

## Recommended Improvements Applicable to both Preferred and Alternate Options

The following improvements can be implemented with either option, or on their own:
Reconfigure and signalize intersections at Neosho Street and Christy Boulevard. This would create a more standard intersection, making it less confusing for drivers, more accessible for other users of the street, and safer.

Reconfigure Goethe Avenue on the west side of Kingshighway Boulevard. This would better define the footprint of the intersection, making it smaller to reduce turning speeds which, in turn, would provide added traffic calming.

Figure 24 identifies improvement locations.

## Kingshighway Boulevard and Neosho Street/ Christy Boulevard Intersection Improvement

The existing configuration of the intersection of Kingshighway Boulevard with Neosho Street/ Christy Boulevard is excessively large and lacks pedestrian crosswalks. Its configuration is irregular, causing confusion for users. This condition is further exacerbated by the presence of Firehouse No. 36. The proposed signal improvement would bring Christy Boulevard into Kingshighway Boulevard at a right angle, creating a traditional four-way intersection. The proposed reconfiguration of the intersection with a signal is shown in

Figure 25

## Traffic Movement Alterations

The newly signalized intersection brings Christy Boulevard into Kingshighway Boulevard at a right-angle across from Neosho Street. Northbound Christy Boulevard traffic must now turn right on Kingshighway Boulevard at a signal rather than merging just before Nottingham Avenue. Traffic that used to make a free southbound left-turn north of Neosho Street are now signalized at the new intersection.

## Bike Accommodations

With the northern terminus of Christy Boulevard relocated to intersect Kingshighway Boulevard directly across from Neosho Street, the pavement from the previous northbound through lanes is used for a bike lane that travels north to the Nottingham Street signal. Here, cyclists can cross the intersection to a painted bike box in the northeast quadrant, where they can safely wait to cross west to Nottingham Avenue which has access to more bike accommodations and connections. At the intersection of Kingshighway and Neosho Street/ Christy Boulevard, the northbound bike lane and southbound bike lane are "protected" at the intersection. Bike lanes are brought against the curb at "protected" intersections and separated from travel lanes by concrete medians.

## Pedestrian Accommodations

Pedestrian accommodations are improved with the installation of a signal which provides protected crossings compared to unprotected conditions under two-way stop control. The signal allows for crosswalks at each leg of the intersection and corresponding pedestrian signal heads and push buttons.

## Firehouse Accommodations

Firehouse No. 36 is located between Christy Boulevard and Kingshighway Boulevard with driveway access for the firetruck facing north. With the relocation of Christy Boulevard, the stop bar for westbound traffic is set further back to eliminate conflict between waiting cars and the firetruck. A truck apron is provided directly across from the firehouse driveway to accommodate a firetruck turning around and backing into the garage.


## Figure 25. Kingshighway - <br> Christy - Neosho Intersection Reconfiguration



## Protected Intersections

Eichelberger Street, Rhodes Avenue, and Schollmeyer Avenue
At Eichelberger Street, Rhodes Avenue, and at Schollmeyer Avenue, the intersection becomes a "protected" intersection for cyclists. At these intersections, parking ends prior to the intersection and the bike lanes are gradually shifted towards the curb with a median that separates bicycles from traffic. The National Association of City Transportation Officials (NACTO) describes "protected" intersection as keeping "bicycles physically separate from motor vehicles up until the intersection" which "can reduce the likelihood of high-speed vehicle turns, improve sightlines and reduce the distance and time during which people on bikes are exposed to conflict." Figures 25, $\mathbf{2 7}$, and $\mathbf{2 8}$ show the proposed intersection improvements for Eichelberger Street, Rhodes Street, and Schollmeyer Avenue


## Goethe Avenue Reconfiguration

The existing configuration of Goethe Avenue on the west side of Kingshighway is extremely oversized. Only a one-way street on this side (westbound permitted), it is approximately 130 -feet wide currently and broken up by two concrete islands. Motorists making a southbound right turn are provided a channelized turn lane that has a large radius, allowing them to travel at higher speeds.

The existing entrance to Goethe Avenue is proposed to be narrowed to the width between the two existing islands. The northern gap between the existing curb and island is recommended to be closed with the construction of a curb, necessitating the relocation of the nearby fire hydrant. The southern gap between the existing curb and island can be closed with grass plantings and/ or a curb. The existing parking at Café Nova can then be pushed out to utilize the extra pavement on Goethe Avenue.

This realignment is preferred because it aligns the west side of Goethe Avenue with the east side of Goethe Avenue for better access management practices, eliminates extra pavement, provides improved pedestrian accommodations, and would provide Café Nova with extra space for outdoor seating. The proposed improvements are shown in Figure 29.


## Gresham Avenue to Bonita Avenue Safety Improvements

The block of Kingshighway Boulevard from Gresham Avenue to Bonita Avenue has a significant horizontal curvature. The combination of this geometric feature and speeding in the area has prompted the installation of concrete planters and flashing signals on the west side of Kingshighway Boulevard to prevent southbound vehicles from exiting the street and entering residential front yards. Parking is not observed to be utilized on the west side of this block of Kingshighway Boulevard. Without parking, the southbound driving lanes become even wider which encourages speeding.

The installation of a 7-foot median to separate the southbound bike lane from the travel lane is recommended to create a vertical barrier adjacent to the travel lane and further slow vehicles. See Figure $\mathbf{3 0}$ for the proposed design.


## Cost Estimates for Improvements

The cost to implement the Preferred Option is estimated to be $\$ 1,668,000$. This cost includes:

- The three-lane cross-section with bike lanes and parking (striping and signing included)
- The reconfiguration and signalization of the Neosho Street / Christy Boulevard intersection
- Modificationsto the EichelbergerStreet, RhodesAvenue and SchollmeyerAvenue intersections to accommodate protected bike lanes through the signals
- Narrowing of Goethe Avenue intersection
- Mill and overlay of Kingshighway Boulevard
- ADA upgrades to curb ramps
- Special cross-section between Gresham Avenue and Bonita Avenue that includes a 7-foot concrete median

The cost to design and build the Alternate Option is estimated to be $\$ 3,297,000$. This cost includes:

- The three-lane cross-section with a 22 -foot concrete median between intersections (striping and signing included)
- The reconfiguration and signalization of the Neosho Street / Christy Boulevard intersection
- Narrowing of Goethe Avenue intersection

All cost estimates by location and in total are summarized in Appendix E. These cost estimates also include the engineering design fee. The full conceptual design of the Preferred Option is illustrated in Appendix D.

Both estimates include improvements to the Neosho Street/Christy Boulevard and Goethe Avenue intersections. If the City chooses to build an option in phases or remove one of these improvements, the individual cost to improve the Neosho Street / Christy Boulevard intersection is $\$ 329,000$ and the cost to improve the Goethe Avenue intersection is $\$ 34,000$. This information is also broken out in the detailed estimate.

Operational Feasibility
The traffic operational feasibility of implementing the lane reduction concept was considered by applying the same methodology used for existing conditions. Existing traffic volumes were evaluated. No traffic diversions were assumed, despite multiple north-south parallel routes. However, national research shows previous lane reduction implementations diverted 2 percent to 15 percent of their prior traffic volume.

Volumes
Industry guidance suggests that a street is a candidate for a four-lane to three-lane lane reduction conversion if the average daily traffic volume does not exceed 20,000 vehicles per day. The daily traffic volume along Kingshighway Boulevard was 11,535 which is well below that threshold.

## Operational Feasibility

## Intersection Level of Service (LOS)

In general, the lane reduction concept would not impact the intersection LOS in the corridor. The most tangible traffic impact would be longer delay and queues on Kingshighway Boulevard at Neosho Street, particularly in the morning peak hour because the intersection would be signalized whereas currently Kingshighway Boulevard traffic never has to stop.

Northbound queues in the $A M$ and $P M$ are projected to be 328-feet and 115-feet respectively. Southbound queues in the AM and PM are projected to be 114-feet and 16-feet The distance between Nottingham and the proposed Neosho signal is approximately 350 feet, therefore these queues are not expected to impact operations at the upstream signal.

Christy Boulevard traffic will also be greatly affected as northbound traffic will now make a right-turn on to Kingshighway Boulevard at a traditional four-way signalized intersection. The 95th percentile queue for this Christy Boulevard traffic would exceed 600 feet in the morning

Intersection LOS for the morning and evening peak hours with the lane reduction concept are illustrated in Figure 31 and Figure 32. Detailed intersection operating summaries are provided in Appendix C, including LOS, delay, and queue lengths by intersection approach.


Figure 32. Kingshighway Blvd Proposed PM LOS



## Operational Feasibility

## Intersection Level of Service (LOS)

Traffic impacts to other intersections in the corridor would be mostly negligible. The intersection of Kingshighway Boulevard and Eichelberger Street would experience a slight improvement in LOS due to the addition of left-turn lanes on Kingshighway Boulevard. A summary of the impacts on each intersection is below:

Nottingham Ave. No anticipated impact

| Neosho St. | Traffic control change, LOS change for both northbound and <br> southbound directions on Kingshighway Boulevard, lengthy queue <br> for merging Christy Boulevard traffic onto Kingshighway Boulevard |
| :--- | :--- |
| Eichelberger St. | LOS unchanged or better, slight delay increases for northbound/ <br> southbound traffic |
| Rhodes Ave. | LOS unchanged |
| Schollmeyer Ave. | LOS unchanged |
| Gravois Ave. | No anticipated impact |

## Anticipated Benefits

## $(\lambda)$ speed

A decrease in speeds along Kingshighway Boulevard is expected due to the inability of higher speed motorists to make lane changes. Case studies reveal an average speed reduction of approximately 5 mph .

## ค <br> Pedestrian Crossings

The new pedestrian accomodations at the signalized intersection of Kingshighway Boulevard and Neosho Street improve pedestrian safety.

## Bike Lanes

The lane reduction concept would add dedicated bike lanes to both sides of Kingshighway Boulevard. The bike lanes would be bound by a 2.5 ft painted buffer to the left and parallel onstreet parking to the right. A dedicated bike lane within the study area would increase connectivity to the regional bike network and improve safety as discussed prior.

## Safety

Lane reductions typically reduce crashes due to the elimination of passing maneuvers and introduction of a continuous twoway left-turn lane. Published guidance by the Federal Highway Administration suggests that a crash reduction of 29 percent is most applicable for the lane reduction alternative in the Kingshighway Boulevard corridor

## 1 Parking

For much of the study corridor, the lane reduction alternative does not impact the supply of on-street parking. The exception being Gresham Avenue to Bonita Avenue where parking is suggested to be removed on the west curb to install a median as a safety measure for high speed motorists rounding the horizontal curve.


## Transit

Transit operations should not be affected by the lane reduction at most bus stops. However, where bus stops and the "protected" intersections overlap, bus stops could be designed to maintain the protected bike lane while still allowing stops to keep normal operations.

## Anticipated Draw-Backs

## $\uparrow \downarrow$ Traffic Diversion

Traffic may be diverted to parallel north south streets, particularly Macklind Ave and Morganford Road. According to published research, traffic diversion to parallel routes from lane reduction implementation ranges from $2 \%$ to $15 \%$.

## Perceived Congestion

Motorists traveling on Kingshighway Boulevard may perceive increased congestion due to the inability to pass slower moving traffic and the resulting uniformity of traffic flow. These conditions should be limited to the weekday peak commuter periods. At other non-peak times of the day, the lane reduction alternative should not adversely impact traffic operations. The lane reduction alternative is expected to provide benefits all times of day to all users of the street.

## CONCLUSION

The purpose of this study was to develop strategies to calm traffic and increase safety along Kingshighway Boulevard between Nottingham Avenue and Gravois Avenue. Reducing lanes or implementing a lane reduction was the strategy evaluated in this study to calm traffic and promote other modes of travel. The goal of this objective evaluation is to provide information to help decision makers. A recommendation is not offered for or against the lane reduction concept.

The lane reduction concept includes three traffic lanes - one through lane in each direction plus a center two-way left-turn lane. Lane modifications occur between Neosho Street and the termination of Kingshighway Boulevard at Gravois Avenue. The traffic operational feasibility of implementing the lane reduction concept is summarized as follows:

- The Kingshighway Boulevard corridor is a good candidate for a lane reduction. Industry guidance suggests lane reductions are appropriate for streets with volumes below 20,000 vehicles per day - Kingshighway Boulevard's is 11,535 vehicles per day.
- The lane reduction would not impact overall intersection levels of service. The most tangible traffic impact is likely to be longer queues and delay time during the peak periods on Kingshighway Boulevard at the intersection with Neosho Street.
- The lane reduction would promote a more welcoming environment for all modes by reducing traffic speeds, improving safety, shortening pedestrian crosswalks, adding dedicated bicycle lanes, and protecting cyclists through signalized intersections.

The cost estimate for the preferred lane reduction concept is $\$ 7,668,000$. The cost estimate for the alternative lane reduction concept is $\$ 3,297,000$. Both cost estimates are detailed in
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## Appendix A: Existing Count Data

Nottingham and Kingshighway - TMC
Tue Sep 10, 2019
Full Length (7 AM-9 AM, 4 PM-6 PM)
All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on
Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 697206, Location: 38.585189, -90.276619, Site Code: 01

| Leg <br> Direction | North <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | East <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | South Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | West <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | Int |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | R | T | L | U | App | Ped* | R | T | L U | App | Ped* | R | T | L | U | App | Ped* | R |  |  | L | U | App | Ped* |  |
| 2019-09-10 7:00AM | 6 | 95 | 1 | 0 | 102 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 20 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 268 | 4 | 0 | 274 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 387 |
| 7:15AM | 5 | 100 | 1 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 389 | 11 | 0 | 407 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 518 |
| 7:30AM | 2 | 150 | 1 | 0 | 153 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 348 | 13 | 0 | 363 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 526 |
| 7:45AM | 5 | 132 | 1 | 0 | 138 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 30 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 285 | 14 | 0 | 301 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 453 |
| Hourly Total | 18 | 477 | 4 | 0 | 499 | 2 | 30 | 3 | 50 | 38 | 4 | 13 | 1290 | 42 | 0 | 1345 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1884 |
| 8:00 AM | 5 | 120 | 5 | 0 | 130 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 00 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 326 | 9 | 0 | 339 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 473 |
| 8:15AM | 3 | 126 | 2 | 0 | 131 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 20 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 276 | 9 | 0 | 287 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 429 |
| 8:30AM | 4 | 103 | 3 | 0 | 110 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 20 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 224 | 5 | 0 | 231 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 350 |
| 8:45AM | 6 | 91 | 6 | 0 | 103 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 00 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 189 | 5 | 0 | 199 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 308 |
| Hourly Total | 18 | 440 | 16 | 0 | 474 | 6 | 24 | 2 | 40 | 30 | 5 | 13 | 1015 | 28 | 0 | 1056 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1560 |
| 9:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:00PM | 7 | 271 | 17 | 0 | 295 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 60 | 22 | 2 | 11 | 131 | 3 | 0 | 145 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 462 |
| 4:15PM | 10 | 271 | 16 | 0 | 297 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 60 | 24 | 6 | 6 | 140 | 9 | 0 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 476 |
| 4:30PM | 11 | 278 | 20 | 0 | 309 | 2 | 16 | 1 | 30 | 20 | 2 | 8 | 121 | 6 | 0 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 464 |
| 4:45PM | 10 | 238 | 13 | 1 | 262 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 50 | 20 | 1 | 3 | 142 | 8 | 0 | 153 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 435 |
| Hourly Total | 38 | 1058 | 66 | 1 | 1163 | 2 | 62 | 4 | $20 \quad 0$ | 86 | 11 | 28 | 534 | 26 | 0 | 588 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1837 |
| 5:00PM | 8 | 276 | 15 | 0 | 299 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 70 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 123 | 7 | 0 | 132 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 443 |
| 5:15PM | 15 | 241 | 20 | 0 | 276 | 0 | 20 | 4 | 40 | 28 | 3 | 4 | 156 | 8 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 472 |
| 5:30PM | 16 | 252 | 17 | 0 | 285 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 80 | 25 | 5 | 1 | 150 | 9 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 470 |
| 5:45PM | 10 | 256 | 16 | 0 | 282 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 60 | 18 | 3 | 3 | 125 | 8 | 0 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 436 |
| Hourly Total | 49 | 1025 | 68 | 0 | 1142 | 3 | 48 | 10 | 250 | 83 | 11 | 10 | 554 | 32 | 0 | 596 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1821 |
| 6:00PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total | 123 | 3001 | 154 | 1 | 3279 | 13 | 164 | 19 | $54 \quad 0$ | 237 | 31 | 64 | 3394 | 128 | 0 | 3586 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 7104 |
| \% Approach | 3.8\% | 91.5\% | 4.7\% | 0\% | - | - | 69.2\% | 8.0\% | 22.8\% 0\% | - | - | 1.8\% | 94.6\% | 3.6\% |  | - | - | 50.0\% 0 |  |  | .0\% |  |  |  | - |
| \% Total | 1.7\% | 42.2\% | 2.2\% | 0\% | 46.2 \% | - | 2.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.8\% 0\% | 3.3\% | - | 0.9\% | 47.8\% | 1.8\% 0 |  | 50.5\% | - | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  | 0 \% | - | - |
| Motorcycles | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 9 | - | 1 | 0 | $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ | 1 | - | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | - | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 17 |
| \% Motorcycles | 0\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 0\% | 0.3\% | - | 0.6\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% | 0.4 \% | - | 0\% | 0.2\% |  | 0\% | 0.2\% | - | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  | 0 \% |  | 0.2\% |
| Lights | 123 | 2922 | 150 | 1 | 3196 | - | 160 | 19 | 520 | 231 | - | 62 | 3318 | 126 | 0 | 3506 | - | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | - | 6934 |
| \% Lights | 100\% | 97.4\% | 97.4\% | 100\% | 97.5\% |  | 97.6\% | 100\% | 96.3\% 0\% | 97.5\% |  | 96.9\% | 97.8\% | 98.4\% | 0\% | 97.8\% | - | 0\% |  |  | 00\% | \% | 0.0 \% |  | 97.6\% |
| S ingle-Unit Trucks | 0 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 25 | - | 2 | 0 | 1 0 | 3 | - | 1 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 24 | - | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 52 |
| \% S ingle-Unit Trucks | 0\% | 0.8\% | 1.3\% | 0\% | 0.8 \% | - | 1.2\% | 0\% | 1.9\% 0\% | 1.3\% | - | 1.6\% | 0.7\% | 0\% |  | 0.7\% | - | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  | 0 \% |  | 0.7\% |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | - | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | - | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | - | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 10 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0\% | 0.1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.1\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 1.9\% 0\% | 0.4 \% | - | 0\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 0\% | 0.1\% | - | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | \% | 0 \% | - | 0.1\% |
| Buses | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 43 | - | 0 | 0 | $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ | 0 | - | 1 | 42 | 1 | 0 | 44 | - | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 87 |
| \% Buses | 0\% | 1.4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1.3 \% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% | 0 \% | - | 1.6\% | 1.2\% | 0.8\% |  | 1.2\% | - | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  | 0 \% | - | 1.2\% |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 4 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0\% | 0\% | 0.6\% | 0\% | 0.1\% | - | 0.6\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% | 0.4 \% | - | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0 \% | - | 100\% 0 | 0\% |  | 0\% |  | 0.0 \% | - | 0.1\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | - | - | - - - | - | 28 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |  | - | - | - | 2 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - |  | 100\% | - | - | - |  | 90.3\% | - | - | - | - |  | 100\% | - | - |  | - | - |  | 6.7\% | - |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - - - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |  | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | 0\% | - | - | - - - | - | 9.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | 0\% | - | - |  | - | - |  | 3.3\% |  |

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

## Neosho and Kingshighway - TMC

Tue Sep 10, 2019
Full Length (7 AM-9 AM, 4 PM-6 PM)
All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on
Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 697208, Location: 38.584285, -90.277056, Site Code: 02

| Leg <br> Direction | North Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | East <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | South <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | West <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | R | T | L | U | App | Ped* | R T | L | U | App | Ped* | R | T | L | L | U | App | Ped* | R | T | L | U | App | Ped* |  |
| 2019-09-10 7:00AM | 0 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 00 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 244 |
| 7:15AM | 0 | 59 | 1 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 235 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 237 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 323 |
| 7:30 AM | 1 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 00 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 198 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 204 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 334 |
| 7:45AM | 0 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 161 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 272 |
| Hourly Total | 1 | 304 | 1 | 0 | 306 | 0 | 20 | 29 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 18 | 745 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 763 | 0 | 26 | 10 | 37 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 1173 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 71 | 0 | 1 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 186 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 194 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 288 |
| 8:15AM | 0 | 65 | 2 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 144 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 245 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 00 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 129 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 134 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 209 |
| 8:45AM | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 179 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 247 | 2 | 1 | 250 | 0 | 0 0 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 25 | 568 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 594 | 0 | 20 | 8 | 28 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 921 |
| 9:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:00PM | 0 | 175 | 0 | 0 | 175 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 279 |
| 4:15PM | 0 | 175 | 1 | 0 | 176 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 283 |
| 4:30PM | 0 | 177 | 0 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 262 |
| 4:45PM | 0 | 147 | 0 | 0 | 147 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 257 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 674 | 1 | 0 | 675 | 0 | 10 | 27 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 31 | 292 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 323 | 1 | 30 | 10 | 15 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 1081 |
| 5:00PM | 0 | 185 | 2 | 0 | 187 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 296 |
| 5:15PM | 0 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 141 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 258 |
| 5:30PM | 0 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 10 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 263 |
| 5:45PM | 0 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 7 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 248 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 624 | 2 | 0 | 626 | 0 | 10 | 28 | 0 | 29 | 1 | 38 | 310 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 348 | 1 | 33 | 12 | 17 | 0 | 62 | 1 | 1065 |
| 6:00PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 1 | 1849 | 6 | 1 | 1857 | 0 | 40 | 105 | 0 | 109 | 1 | 112 | 1916 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2029 | 2 | 109 | 40 | 97 | 0 | 246 | 1 | 4241 |
| \% Approach | 0.1\% | 99.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | - | - | 3.7\% 0\% | 96.3\% | 0\% | - |  | 5.5\% | 94.4\% |  |  | 0\% | - | - | 44.3\% | 16.3\% | 39.4\% |  |  | - | - |
| \% Total | 0\% | 43.6\% | 0.1\% | 0\% | 43.8 \% | - | 0.1\% 0\% | 2.5\% | 0\% | 2.6 \% |  | 2.6\% | 45.2\% |  |  | 0\% | 47.8\% | - | 2.6\% | 0.9\% | 2.3\% |  | 5.8\% | - | - |
| Motorcycles | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | - | 00 | 1 | 0 | 1 | - | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | - | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 12 |
| \% Motorcycles | 0\% | 0.3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.3\% | - | 0\% 0\% | 1.0\% | 0\% | 0.9 \% |  | 0\% | 0.3\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0.2 \% | - | 0\% | 2.5\% |  |  | 0.4 \% | - | 0.3\% |
| Lights | 0 | 1797 | 5 | 1 | 1803 | - | 40 | 99 | 0 | 103 | - | 108 | 1874 | 0 | ) | 1 | 1983 | - | 106 | 37 | 96 | 0 | 239 | - | 4128 |
| \% Lights | 0\% | 97.2\% | 83.3\% | 100\% | 97.1\% | - | 100\% 0\% | 94.3\% |  | $94.5 \%$ |  | 96.4\% | 97.8\% |  |  | 00\% | 97.7\% | - | 97.2\% | 92.5\% | 99.0\% |  | 97.2\% |  | 97.3\% |
| S ingle-Unit Trucks | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 14 | - | $0 \quad 0$ | 2 | 0 | 2 | - | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | - | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | - | 32 |
| \% S ingle-Unit Trucks | 0\% | 0.7\% | 16.7\% | 0\% | 0.8 \% | - | 0\% 0\% | 1.9\% | 0\% | 1.8 \% |  | 1.8\% | 0.6\% |  |  | 0\% | 0.7\% | - | 0.9\% | 2.5\% |  |  | 0.8 \% | - | 0.8\% |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | - | $0 \quad 0$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | - | 0 | 1 | 0 | ) | 0 | 1 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 7 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0\% | 0.2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.2 \% | - | 0\% 0\% | 1.0\% | 0\% | 0.9 \% |  | 0\% | 0.1\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 \% | - | 0.9\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0.4 \% |  | 0.2\% |
| Buses | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 29 | - | $0 \quad 0$ | 2 | 0 | 2 | - | 1 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | - | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | - | 58 |
| \% Buses | 0\% | 1.6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1.6\% | - | 0\% 0\% | 1.9\% | 0\% | 1.8 \% | - | 0.9\% | 1.3\% |  |  | 0\% | 1.2\% | - | 0\% | 2.5\% | 1.0\% |  | 0.8 \% | - | 1.4\% |
| Bicycles on Road | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | - | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 4 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 100\% | 0.1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.1\% |  | 0\% 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0 \% |  | 0.9\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0 \% |  | 0.9\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0.4 \% | - | 0.1\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |  | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - - | - |  | 100\% | - | - | - | - | - |  | 100\% | - | - | - | - |  | 100\% | - |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - - | - - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - |  | - - |  | - | - | 0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0\% | - | - | - | - | - | 0\% | - |

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Eichelberger and Kingshighway - TMC
Thu Sep 12, 2019
Full Length (7 AM-9 AM, 4 PM-6 PM)
All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Sing le-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on
Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 697210, Location: 38.58096, -90.278831, Site Code: 03

| Leg <br> Direction | North Southbound |  |  |  |  | East <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | South <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | West <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | R | T | L U | U App | Ped* | R | T | L |  | App | Ped* | R | T | L | U | App | Ped* | R | T | L | U | App | Ped* |  |
| 2019-09-12 7:00 AM | 10 | 61 | 0 | 071 | 0 | 10 | 21 | 1 |  | 32 | 1 | 4 | 128 | 6 | 0 | 138 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 8 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 267 |
| 7:15AM | 12 | 51 | 10 | 064 | 0 | 20 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 1 | 4 | 163 | 9 | 0 | 176 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 18 | 0 | 36 | 1 | 324 |
| 7:30AM | 3 | 113 | 10 | 0 117 | 2 | 18 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 36 | 1 | 4 | 160 | 8 | 0 | 172 | 0 | 11 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 366 |
| 7:45AM | 10 | 90 | 10 | 0101 | 1 | 6 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 3 | 137 | 6 | 0 | 146 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 17 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 302 |
| Hourly Total | 35 | 315 | 30 | 0 353 | 3 | 54 | 81 | 2 | 0 | 137 | 3 | 15 | 588 | 29 | 0 | 632 | 0 | 26 | 53 | 58 | 0 | 137 | 2 | 1259 |
| 8:00AM | 5 | 54 | 0 | 0 59 | 0 | 13 | 8 | 0 |  | 21 | 0 | 2 | 133 | 8 | 0 | 143 | 1 | 14 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 253 |
| 8:15AM | 3 | 62 | 0 | 0) 65 | 0 | 11 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 115 | 4 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 221 |
| 8:30AM | 1 | 40 | 10 | 0 42 | 1 | 11 | 14 | 1 |  | 26 | 0 | 1 | 108 | 4 | 0 | 113 | 1 | 6 | 9 | 14 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 210 |
| 8:45AM | 5 | 51 | 0 | 0 56 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 1 |  | 13 | 2 | 0 | 85 | 2 | 0 | 87 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 181 |
| Hourly Total | 14 | 207 | 10 | 0 222 | 1 | 36 | 41 | 2 | 0 | 79 | 2 | 4 | 441 | 18 | 0 | 463 | 3 | 32 | 24 | 45 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 865 |
| 9:00AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 1 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 4:00PM | 13 | 146 | 30 | 0 162 | 0 | 6 | 17 | 0 |  | 23 | 0 | 6 | 74 | 7 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 16 | 30 | 12 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 330 |
| 4:15PM | 11 | 130 | 0 | 0 141 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 3 |  | 18 | 0 | 7 | 69 | 8 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 14 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 281 |
| 4:30PM | 17 | 137 | 30 | 0 157 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 0 |  | 17 | 0 | 2 | 64 | 7 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 11 | 29 | 15 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 302 |
| 4:45PM | 11 | 128 | 20 | 0 141 | 1 | 6 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 3 | 81 | 5 | 0 | 89 | 1 | 20 | 29 | 6 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 310 |
| Hourly Total | 52 | 541 | 80 | 0 601 | 1 | 19 | 60 | 4 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 18 | 288 | 27 | 0 | 333 | 1 | 61 | 109 | 36 | 0 | 206 | 0 | 1223 |
| 5:00PM | 15 | 137 | 20 | 0154 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 3 |  | 23 | 0 | 1 | 64 | 8 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 21 | 22 | 7 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 300 |
| 5:15PM | 10 | 133 | 40 | 0 147 | 0 | 5 | 19 | 0 |  | 24 | 0 | 1 | 82 | 4 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 14 | 23 | 4 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 299 |
| 5:30PM | 18 | 132 | 10 | 0 151 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 75 | 4 | 0 | 85 | 2 | 17 | 24 | 7 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 308 |
| 5:45PM | 17 | 139 | 10 | 0 157 | 0 | 5 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 80 | 5 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 15 | 22 | 13 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 311 |
| Hourly Total | 60 | 541 | 80 | 0609 | 0 | 14 | 68 | 7 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 9 | 301 | 21 | 0 | 331 | 2 | 67 | 91 | 31 | 0 | 189 | 0 | 1218 |
| 6:00PM | 0 | 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 161 | 1605 | $20 \quad 0$ | 0 1786 | 5 | 123 | 250 | 15 |  | 388 | 5 | 46 | 1619 | 95 | 0 | 1760 | 6 | 186 | 277 | 170 | 0 | 633 | 2 | 4567 |
| \% Approach | 9.0\% | 89.9\% | 1.1\% 0\% | \% |  | 31.7\% 6 | 64.4\% | 3.9\% 0 |  | - |  | 2.6\% 9 | 92.0\% | 5.4\% 0 |  | - |  | 29.4\% | 3.8\% | 26.9\% 0 |  |  |  |  |
| \% Total | 3.5\% | 35.1\% | 0.4\% 0\% | 39.1\% |  | 2.7\% | 5.5\% | 0.3\% 0 |  | 8.5\% |  | 1.0\% | 35.4\% | 2.1\% 0 | \% | 38.5\% |  | 4.1\% | 6.1\% | 3.7\% 0 | \% | 13.9\% |  |  |
| Motorcycles | 0 | 1 | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 1$ | - | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 10 |
| \% Motorcycles | 0\% | 0.1\% | 0\% 0\% | 0.1\% |  | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 0\% 0 |  | 0.8\% |  | 0\% | 0.3\% | 0\% 0 |  | 0.3\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0.6\% 0 |  | 0.2\% |  | 0.2\% |
| Lights | 158 | 1555 | $18 \quad 0$ | ) 1731 |  | 119 | 240 |  | 0 | 371 |  | 44 | 1575 | 93 | 0 | 1712 |  | 181 | 272 | 167 | 0 | 620 |  | 4434 |
| \% Lights | 98.1\% | 96.9\% | 90.0\% 0\% | 96.9\% |  | 96.7\% | 96.0\% | 80.0\% 0 |  | 95.6 \% |  | 95.7\% 9 | 97.3\% 9 | 97.9\% 0 |  | 97.3\% |  | 97.3\% 9 | 8.2\% | 98.2\% 0 |  | 97.9\% |  | 97.1\% |
| Single -Unit Trucks | 2 | 17 | 10 | 020 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 |  | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 16 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 |  | 43 |
| \% Single-Unit Trucks | 1.2\% | 1.1\% | 5.0\% 0\% | 1.1\% |  | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 6.7\% 0 |  | 1.0\% |  | 2.2\% | 0.9\% | 0\% 0 |  | 0.9\% |  | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% 0 |  | 0.5\% |  | 0.9\% |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 5 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | - | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 11 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0\% | 0.3\% | 0\% 0\% | 0.3\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0 |  | 0 \% |  | 0\% | 0.2\% | 1.1\% 0 |  | 0.3\% |  | 0\% | 0.4\% | 0\% 0 |  | 0.2\% |  | 0.2\% |
| Buses | 1 | 27 | 10 | $0 \quad 29$ |  | 2 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 9 |  | 1 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 21 |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 |  | 64 |
| \% Buses | 0.6\% | 1.7\% | 5.0\% 0\% | 1.6\% |  | 1.6\% | 2.0\% | 13.3\% 0 |  | 2.3\% |  | 2.2\% | 1.2\% | 1.1\% 0 |  | 1.2\% |  | 0.5\% | 1.1\% | 0.6\% 0 |  | 0.8\% |  | 1.4\% |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  | 5 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0.4\% | 0\% 0 |  | 0.3\% |  | 0\% | 0.1\% | 0\% 0 |  | 0.1\% |  | 1.6\% | 0\% | 0\% 0 |  | 0.5 \% |  | 0.1\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - - | - | 5 | - |  | - |  | - | 5 | - | - | - |  | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |  |
| \% Pedestrians |  | - | - - |  | 100\% | - |  |  |  |  | 100\% | - | - | - |  |  | 66.7\% | - | - | - | - |  | 100\% | - |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |  | 2 | - | - | - |  | - | 0 |  |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk |  | - | - - | - - | 0\% | - |  |  |  | - | 0\% | - | - | - |  |  | -33.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | 0\% |  |

[^0]Provided by: Engineering Design Source Inc.
16141 Swingley Ridge Road,
Chesterfield, MO, 12345, US

Rhodes and Kingshighway - TMC
Thu Sep 12, 2019
Full Length (7 AM-9 AM, 4 PM-6 PM)
All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses,
Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 697213, Location: 38.577801, -90.282554, Site Code: 04

| Leg Direction | North <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  | South <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | West <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | R | T | U | App | Ped* | T | L | U | App | Ped* | R | L | U | App | Ped* | Int |
| 2019-09-12 7:00AM | 1 | 60 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 130 | 7 | 0 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 198 |
| 7:15AM | 2 | 53 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 153 | 11 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 219 |
| 7:30AM | 6 | 111 | 0 | 117 | 0 | 149 | 12 | 0 | 161 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 278 |
| 7:45AM | 1 | 110 | 0 | 111 | 0 | 147 | 9 | 0 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 267 |
| Hourly Total | 10 | 334 | 0 | 344 | 0 | 579 | 39 | 0 | 618 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 962 |
| 8:00AM | 3 | 69 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 132 | 10 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 214 |
| 8:15AM | 4 | 57 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 99 | 10 | 0 | 109 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 170 |
| 8:30AM | 1 | 45 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 106 | 8 | 0 | 114 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 |
| 8:45AM | 5 | 45 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 93 | 10 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 153 |
| Hourly Total | 13 | 216 | 0 | 229 | 0 | 430 | 38 | 0 | 468 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 697 |
| 9:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:00PM | 3 | 147 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 92 | 9 | 1 | 102 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 252 |
| 4:15PM | 7 | 136 | 0 | 143 | 0 | 91 | 17 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 251 |
| 4:30PM | 2 | 135 | 0 | 137 | 0 | 69 | 10 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 216 |
| 4:45PM | 11 | 128 | 1 | 140 | 0 | 92 | 14 | 1 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 247 |
| Hourly Total | 23 | 546 | 1 | 570 | 0 | 344 | 50 | 2 | 396 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 966 |
| 5:00PM | 5 | 158 | 0 | 163 | 0 | 77 | 17 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 257 |
| 5:15PM | 11 | 132 | 0 | 143 | 0 | 84 | 13 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 240 |
| 5:30PM | 7 | 131 | 0 | 138 | 0 | 85 | 12 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 235 |
| 5:45PM | 9 | 124 | 0 | 133 | 2 | 84 | 13 | 0 | 97 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 230 |
| Hourly Total | 32 | 545 | 0 | 577 | 2 | 330 | 55 | 0 | 385 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 3 | 962 |
| 6:00PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 78 | 1641 | 1 | 1720 | 2 | 1683 | 182 | 2 | 1867 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 3587 |
| \% Approach | 4.5\% | 95.4\% | 0.1\% | - |  | 90.1\% | 9.7\% | 0.1\% | - |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | - |  |  |
| \% Total | 2.2\% | 45.7\% | 0\% | 48.0\% |  | 46.9\% | 5.1\% | 0.1\% | 52.0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - |  |
| Motorcycles | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 8 |
| \% Motorcycles | 0\% | 0.2\% | 0\% | 0.2\% |  | 0.2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.2\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - |  | 0.2\% |
| Lights | 76 | 1593 | 1 | 1670 |  | 1636 | 177 | 2 | 1815 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 3485 |
| \% Lights | 97.4\% | 97.1\% | 100\% | 97.1\% |  | 97.2\% | 97.3\% | 100\% | 97.2\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - |  | 97.2\% |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 1 | 15 | 0 | 16 |  | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 34 |
| \% Single-Unit Trucks | 1.3\% | 0.9\% | 0\% | 0.9\% |  | 1.1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1.0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | - |  | 0.9\% |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 7 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0\% | 0.2\% | 0\% | 0.2\% |  | 0.2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.2\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - |  | 0.2\% |
| Buses | 1 | 25 | 0 | 26 | - | 21 | 3 | 0 | 24 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 50 |
| \% Buses | 1.3\% | 1.5\% | 0\% | 1.5\% |  | 1.2\% | 1.6\% | 0\% | 1.3\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - | 1.4\% |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 3 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0.1\% | 1.1\% | 0\% | 0.2\% |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | - | - | 0.1\% |
| Pedestrians |  | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 9 |  |
| \% Pedestrians |  | - | - | - | 100\% | - | - | - |  | 100\% | - | - | - - | - | 90.0\% |  |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk |  | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 |  | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk |  | - | - | - | 0\% | - | - | - | - | 0\% |  |  | - | - | 10.0\% |  |

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T:Thru, U: U-Turn

Count Name: Schollmeyer and Kingshighway Site Code:
Start Date: 10/22/2019
Page No: 1

Turning Movement Data

| Start Time | Southbound Approach Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Westbound Approach Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | Northbound Approach Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Eastbound Approach Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Right | Thru | Left | U-Turn | Peds | App. <br> Total | Right | Thru | Left | U-Turn | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | U-Turn | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | U-Turn | Peds | $\begin{aligned} & \text { App. } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 112 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 7 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 95 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 225 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 123 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 76 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 212 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 91 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 95 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 183 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 103 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 73 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 189 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 429 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 447 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 14 | 313 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 328 | 17 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 809 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 122 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 123 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 71 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 198 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 95 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 75 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 173 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 104 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 173 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 99 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 192 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 420 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 428 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 18 | 276 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 294 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 736 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| *** BREAK *** | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 44 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 169 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 36 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 134 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 181 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 48 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 22 | 131 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 153 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 241 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 44 | 34 | 1 | 1 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 47 | 148 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 195 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 287 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 172 | 64 | 3 | 1 | 239 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 90 | 509 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 599 | 17 | 9 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 40 | 878 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 42 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 28 | 114 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 142 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 213 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 57 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 166 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 51 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 148 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 105 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 182 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 35 | 371 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 406 | 8 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 632 |
| 9:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 1204 | 111 | 3 | 5 | 1318 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 5 | 157 | 1469 | 1 | 0 | 39 | 1627 | 49 | 16 | 41 | 0 | 5 | 106 | 3056 |
| Approach \% | 0.0 | 91.4 | 8.4 | 0.2 | - | - | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 9.6 | 90.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | - | - | 46.2 | 15.1 | 38.7 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 0.0 | 39.4 | 3.6 | 0.1 | - | 43.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.2 | 5.1 | 48.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 53.2 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 | - | 3.5 | - |
| All Vehicles (no classification) | 0 | 1200 | 110 | 3 | - | 1313 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 5 | 157 | 1467 | 1 | 0 | - | 1625 | 49 | 15 | 41 | 0 | - | 105 | 3048 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% All Vehicles (no } \\ & \text { classification) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | 99.7 | 99.1 | 100.0 | - | 99.6 | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 100.0 | - | - | 99.9 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 100.0 | - | - | 99.1 | 99.7 |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | $-$ | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 8 |
| $\begin{gathered} \% \text { Bicycles on } \\ \text { Road } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | - | 0.4 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.1 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.0 | . | - | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 2.6 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | - |
| Pedestrians | - | - | $-$ | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 64 | $-$ | - | - | - | - | 38 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 97.4 | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - |

## Gravo is and Kingshighway - TMC

Tue Sep 17, 2019
Full Length (7 AM-9 AM, 4 PM-6 PM)
All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on
Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 697215, Location: 38.571394, -90.283788, Site Code: 05

| Leg <br> Direction | North <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | East <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | South <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | West <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | R | T | L | U | App | Ped* | R | T | L | U | App | Ped* | R | T | L | U | App | Ped* | R | T | L | U | App | Ped* |  |
| 2019-09-17 7:00AM | 50 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 14 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 102 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 94 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 322 |
| 7:15AM | 37 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 8 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 112 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 91 | 143 | 0 | 235 | 0 | 388 |
| 7:30 AM | 46 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 51 | 3 | 27 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 91 | 133 | 0 | 224 | 0 | 404 |
| 7:45AM | 51 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 62 | 0 | 70 | 112 | 0 | 0 | 182 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 113 | 107 | 0 | 221 | 4 | 466 |
| Hourly Total | 184 | 3 | 17 | 1 | 205 | 3 | 119 | 403 | 0 | 0 | 522 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 369 | 477 | 0 | 848 | 4 | 1580 |
| 8:00 AM | 53 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 57 | 1 | 39 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 83 | 120 | 0 | 203 | 0 | 400 |
| 8:15AM | 47 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 12 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 87 | 82 | 0 | 169 | 0 | 307 |
| 8:30AM | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 2 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 83 | 74 | 0 | 158 | 0 | 291 |
| 8:45AM | 36 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 40 | 1 | 2 | 81 | 10 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 74 | 64 | 0 | 139 | 0 | 262 |
| Hourly Total | 179 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 189 | 2 | 55 | 341 | 10 | 0 | 396 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 327 | 340 | 0 | 669 | 0 | 1260 |
| 9:00AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:00PM | 113 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 32 | 152 | 0 | 0 | 184 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 126 | 58 | 0 | 189 | 0 | 501 |
| 4:15PM | 90 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 94 | 6 | 13 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 120 | 54 | 1 | 176 | 1 | 427 |
| 4:30PM | 91 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 16 | 131 | 10 | 0 | 147 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 5 | 121 | 60 | 0 | 186 | 0 | 440 |
| 4:45PM | 102 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 105 | 1 | 15 | 139 | 0 | 0 | 154 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 86 | 62 | 0 | 151 | 0 | 412 |
| Hourly Total | 396 | 6 | 21 | 0 | 423 | 7 | 76 | 564 | 0 | 0 | 640 | 1 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 14 | 453 | 234 | 1 | 702 | 1 | 1780 |
| 5:00PM | 104 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 115 | 1 | 17 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 109 | 56 | 0 | 169 | 0 | 451 |
| 5:15PM | 104 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 113 | 2 | 14 | 123 | 0 | 0 | 137 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 102 | 63 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 421 |
| 5:30PM | 99 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 112 | 2 | 12 | 131 | 10 | 0 | 143 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 115 | 60 | 0 | 176 | 3 | 441 |
| 5:45PM | 68 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 76 | 3 | 15 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 143 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 123 | 57 | 0 | 183 | 0 | 406 |
| Hourly Total | 375 | 7 | 34 | 0 | 416 | 8 | 58 | 528 | 0 | 0 | 586 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 21 | 3 | 11 | 449 | 236 | 0 | 696 | 3 | 1719 |
| 6:00PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 1134 | 16 | 82 | 1 | 1233 | 20 | 308 | 1836 | 0 | 0 | 2144 | 4 | 20 | 24 | 2 | 1 | 47 | 20 | 29 | 1599 | 1287 | 1 | 2916 | 8 | 6340 |
| \% Approach | 92.0\% | 1.3\% | 6.7\% | 0.1\% | - | - | 14.4\% | 85.6\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - | 42.6\% | 51.1\% | 4.3\% | 2.1\% | - | - | 1.0\% | 54.8\% | 44.1\% | 0\% | - |  |  |
| \% Total | 17.9\% | 0.3\% | 1.3\% | 0\% | 19.4 \% | - | 4.9\% | 29.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 33.8\% |  | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.7\% | - | 0.5\% | 25.2\% | 20.3\% | 0\% | 46.0 \% | - |  |
| Motorcycles | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | - | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 11 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 9 |  | 24 |
| \% Motorcycles | 0.3\% | 0\% | 1.2\% | 0\% | 0.3 \% | - | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.5 \% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0 \% | - | 0\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0\% | 0.3 \% |  | 0.4\% |
| Lights | 1102 | 16 | 78 | 1 | 1197 | - | 303 | 1781 | 10 | 0 | 2084 | - | 17 | 24 | 2 | 1 | 44 | - | 29 | 1538 | 1251 | 1 | 2819 |  | 6144 |
| \% Lights | 97.2\% | 100\% | 95.1\% | 100\% | 97.1\% |  | 98.4\% | 97.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 97.2\% | - | 85.0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 93.6\% | - | 100\% | 96.2\% | 97.2\% | 100\% | 96.7\% |  | 96.9\% |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | - | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 15 | - | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | - | 0 | 25 | 11 | 0 | 36 | - | 62 |
| \% Single-Unit Trucks | 0.6\% | 0\% | 1.2\% | 0\% | 0.6 \% | - | 0.3\% | 0.8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.7\% | - | 15.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6.4 \% | - | 0\% | 1.6\% | 0.9\% | 0\% | 1.2\% |  | 1.0\% |
| Articulated Trucks | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | - | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 10 |  | 16 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0.3\% | 0\% | 1.2\% | 0\% | 0.3 \% | - | 0\% | 0.1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.1\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0 \% | - | 0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0\% | 0.3 \% |  | 0.3\% |
| Buses | 19 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 20 | - | 1 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 30 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 42 | - | 92 |
| \% Buses | 1.7\% | 0\% | 1.2\% | 0\% | 1.6 \% | - | 0.3\% | 1.6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1.4 \% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0 \% | - | 0\% | 1.3\% | 1.6\% | 0\% | 1.4 \% | - | 1.5\% |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 2 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 2 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0 \% | - | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.1\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0 \% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0 \% | - | 0\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | - |  | - - | - | - | 4 | - | - - | - | - | - | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - |  | 90.0\% | - |  | - - | - |  | 100\% | - | - - | - | - |  | 100\% | - | - | - | - |  | 100\% |  |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - - | - | - | 0 | - | - - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - |  | 10.0\% | - | - | - | - - | - | 0\% | - | - | - | - | - | 0\% | - | - | - | - | - | 0\% |  |

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

## Appendix B: Traffic Operational Analysis Methodology

Traffic operating conditions were evaluated using Synchro 10 traffic modeling software, which is based upon methodologies outlined in the Highway Capacity Manual, $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition (HCM) published by the Transportation Research Board.

The performance of a transportation system is quantified by Levels of Service (LOS), which are measures of traffic flow that consider factors such as speed, delay, interruptions, safety, and driver comfort and convenience. There are six levels of service ranging from LOS A ("free flow") to LOS F ("oversaturated"). LOS C is commonly used for design purposes and represents a roadway with volumes utilizing 70 to 80 percent of its capacity. LOS E is typically considered acceptable for peak period conditions in urban areas.

Level of service criteria vary depending upon the roadway component being evaluated. Intersections are most commonly evaluated since roadway capacity is typically dictated by the number of vehicles that can be served at critical intersections. For intersections, the criteria are based on delay and the type of control (i.e., whether it is signalized or unsignalized/roundabout).

Signalized intersections reflect higher delay tolerances as compared to unsignalized locations because motorists are accustomed to and accepting of longer delays at signals. For signalized and all-way stop intersections, the average control delay per vehicle is estimated for each movement and then aggregated for each approach and the intersection as a whole. For intersections with partial (side-street) stop control, the delay is calculated for the minor movements only (side-street approaches and major road left-turns) since through traffic on the major road is not required to stop. The thresholds for intersection levels of service are summarized in Table B1.

Table B1: Intersection Level of Service Thresholds

| Level of Service | Delay per Vehicle (sec/veh) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Signalized | Unsignalized |
| A | $<10$ | $0-10$ |
| B | $>10-20$ | $>10-15$ |
| C | $>20-35$ | $>15-25$ |
| D | $>35-55$ | $>25-35$ |
| E | $>55-80$ | $>35-50$ |
| F | $>80$ | $>50$ |

## Appendix C: Traffic Operating Conditions

Table C1: Existing Operating Conditions

| Intersection | Approach | Lane Group | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LOS | Delay (s) | Max. Queue (ft) | LOS | Delay (s) | Max. Queue (ft) |
| Kingshighway Boulevard \& Nottingham Avenue | NB | L | A | 1.5 | 9 | A | 1.8 | 6 |
|  |  | R | A | 0.0 | 0 | A | 0.2 | 1 |
|  |  | T | A | 3.7 | 217 | A | 3.8 | 79 |
|  |  | Overall | A | 3.6 | 217 | A | 3.5 | 79 |
|  | SB | L | A | 1.8 | 3 | A | 1.7 | 14 |
|  |  | TR | A | 4.0 | 84 | A | 4.6 | 201 |
|  |  | Overall | A | 4.0 | 84 | A | 4.4 | 201 |
|  | WB | LT | E | 62.2 | 18 | E | 65.2 | 55 |
|  |  | R | B | 13.4 | 4 | B | 19.9 | 49 |
|  |  | Overall | C | 22.0 | 18 | C | 32.3 | 55 |
|  | Overall |  | A | 4.1 | 217 | A | 5.5 | 201 |
| Kingshighway Boulevard \& Christy Boulevard | NWB | T | F | 102.8 | 522 | B | 11.3 | 35 |
|  |  | Overall | F | 102.8 | 522 | B | 11.3 | 35 |
|  | SB | L | B | 11.2 | 26 | A | 9.6 | 41 |
|  |  | Overall | B | 11.2 | 26 | A | 9.6 | 41 |
|  | Overall |  | D | 33.9 | 522 | A | 4.1 | 41 |
| Kingshighway Boulevard \& Neosho Street | EB | L | C | 22.4 | 11 | C | 21.1 | 7 |
|  |  | TR | C | 16.0 | 9 | B | 14.4 | 9 |
|  |  | Overall | C | 18.9 | 11 | C | 16.3 | 9 |
|  | NB | TR | A | 0.0 | 0 | A | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  | Overall | A | 0.0 | 0 | A | 0.0 | 0 |
|  | SB | T | A | 0.0 | 0 | A | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  | Overall | A | 0.0 | 0 | A | 0.0 | 0 |
|  | WB | L | E | 39.1 | 20 | C | 19.1 | 9 |
|  |  | Overall | E | 39.1 | 20 | C | 19.1 | 9 |
|  | Overall |  | A | 1.9 | 20 | A | 1.5 | 9 |
| Kingshighway <br>  <br> Eichelberger Street | EB | LT | F | 87.3 | 166 | E | 78.1 | 216 |
|  |  | R | C | 21.4 | 38 | F | 116.5 | 102 |
|  |  | Overall | E | 70.7 | 166 | F | 89.6 | 216 |
|  | NB | LTR | A | 4.6 | 124 | A | 4.1 | 57 |
|  |  | Overall | A | 4.6 | 124 | A | 4.1 | 57 |
|  | SB | LTR | A | 4.0 | 58 | A | 3.3 | 125 |
|  |  | Overall | A | 4.0 | 58 | A | 3.3 | 125 |
|  | WB | LT | E | 62.2 | 104 | E | 57.3 | 110 |
|  |  | R | B | 13.9 | 20 | B | 11.4 | 0 |
|  |  | Overall | D | 40.2 | 104 | D | 47.2 | 110 |
|  | Overall |  | B | 15.9 | 166 | C | 21.7 | 216 |
| Kingshighway Boulevard \& Rhodes Avenue | NB | LT | A | 0.2 | 0 | A | 0.1 | 0 |
|  |  | Overall | A | 0.2 | 0 | A | 0.1 | 0 |
|  | SB | TR | A | 0.1 | 0 | A | 0.1 | 0 |
|  |  | Overall | A | 0.1 | 0 | A | 0.1 | 0 |
|  | Overall |  | A | 0.2 | 0 | A | 0.1 | 0 |
| Kingshighway Boulevard \& Schollmeyer Avenue | EB | LT | B | 16.3 | 25 | B | 15.5 | 19 |
|  |  | R | A | 0.2 | 0 | A | 0.9 | 0 |
|  |  | Overall | B | 10.2 | 25 | A | 9.2 | 19 |
|  | NB | TR | A | 5.2 | 84 | A | 5.1 | 44 |
|  |  | Overall | A | 5.2 | 84 | A | 5.1 | 44 |
|  | SB | LT | A | 5.2 | 36 | A | 5.4 | 63 |
|  |  | Overall | A | 5.2 | 36 | A | 5.4 | 63 |
|  | WB | R | A | 0.0 | 0 | A | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  | Overall | A | 0.0 | 0 | A | 0.0 | 0 |
|  | Overall |  | A | 5.5 | 84 | A | 5.5 | 63 |
| Kingshighway | EB | LTR | D | 41.1 | 495 | C | 28.9 | 331 |


| Intersection | Approach | Lane Group | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LOS | Delay (s) | Max. Queue (ft) | LOS | Delay (s) | Max. Queue (ft) |
| Boulevard \& Gravois Avenue |  | Overall | D | 41.1 | 495 | C | 28.9 | 331 |
|  |  | TR | C | 25.2 | 11 | C | 25.5 | 22 |
|  | NB | Overall | C | 25.2 | 11 | C | 25.5 | 22 |
|  |  | LT | C | 25.4 | 34 | C | 25.4 | 37 |
|  | SB | R | C | 27.4 | 94 | C | 31.1 | 201 |
|  |  | Overall | C | 27.2 | 94 | C | 30.7 | 201 |
|  | WB | TR | B | 16.0 | 162 | B | 19.6 | 227 |
|  |  | Overall | B | 16.0 | 162 | B | 19.6 | 227 |
|  | Overall |  | C | 29.7 | 162 | C | 25.9 | 331 |

Table C2: Forecasted Operating Conditions with preferred "Lane Reduction" Option

| Intersection | Approach | Lane Group | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LOS | Delay (s) | Max. Queue (ft) | LOS | Delay (s) | Max. Queue (ft) |
| Kingshighway <br>  <br> Nottingham Avenue | NB | L | A | 2.9 | 15 | A | 3.4 | 10 |
|  |  | TR | A | 7.9 | 324 | A | 8.4 | 151 |
|  |  | Overall | A | 7.7 | 324 | A | 8.2 | 151 |
|  | SB | L | A | 1.8 | 3 | A | 1.8 | 14 |
|  |  | TR | A | 4.0 | 84 | A | 4.6 | 201 |
|  |  | Overall | A | 4.0 | 84 | A | 4.4 | 201 |
|  | WB | LT | E | 62.2 | 18 | E | 65.2 | 55 |
|  |  | R | B | 13.4 | 4 | B | 19.9 | 49 |
|  |  | Overall | C | 22.0 | 18 | C | 32.3 | 55 |
|  | Overall |  | A | 7.0 | 324 | A | 7.0 | 201 |
| Kingshighway Boulevard \& Neosho Street | EB | LTR | E | 57.2 | 113 | E | 74.5 | 100 |
|  |  | Overall | E | 57.2 | 113 | E | 74.5 | 100 |
|  | NB | TR | C | 28.2 | 328 | B | 10.8 | 115 |
|  |  | Overall | C | 28.2 | 328 | B | 10.8 | 115 |
|  | SB | L | B | 13.5 | 68 | A | 3.3 | 50 |
|  |  | T | A | 6.5 | 114 | A | 1.9 | 16 |
|  |  | Overall | A | 9.1 | 114 | A | 2.4 | 50 |
|  | WB | L | D | 54.4 | 62 | E | 69.2 | 60 |
|  |  | R | D | 51.7 | 739 | D | 53.4 | 297 |
|  |  | Overall | D | 51.8 | 62 | E | 55.0 | 297 |
|  | Overall |  | C | 30.5 | 328 | B | 15.9 | 297 |
| Kingshighway <br> Boulevard \& Eichelberger Street | EB | LT | F | 86.8 | 165 | E | 76.2 | 212 |
|  |  | R | C | 21.4 | 38 | C | 25.4 | 63 |
|  |  | Overall | E | 70.3 | 165 | E | 61.0 | 212 |
|  | NB | L | A | 4.2 | 17 | A | 4.5 | 16 |
|  |  | TR | A | 6.2 | 280 | A | 4.7 | 113 |
|  |  | Overall | A | 6.1 | 280 | A | 4.7 | 113 |
|  | SB | L | A | 8.5 | 6 | A | 4.1 | 4 |
|  |  | TR | A | 8.9 | 186 | A | 5.8 | 235 |
|  |  | Overall | A | 8.9 | 186 | A | 5.8 | 235 |
|  | WB | LT | E | 62.0 | 104 | E | 56.6 | 101 |
|  |  | R | B | 14.2 | 21 | B | 17.9 | 24 |
|  |  | Overall | D | 40.2 | 104 | D | 47.3 | 101 |
|  | Overall |  | B | 18.1 | 280 | B | 18.2 | 235 |
| Kingshighway Boulevard \& Rhodes Avenue | NB | L | A | 0.1 | 0 | A | 0.2 | 0 |
|  |  | T | A | 0.4 | 0 | A | 0.2 | 0 |
|  |  | Overall | A | 0.4 | 0 | A | 0.2 | 0 |
|  | SB | TR | A | 0.3 | 0 | A | 0.4 | 0 |
|  |  | Overall | A | 0.3 | 0 | A | 0.4 | 0 |
|  | Overall |  | A | 0.3 | 0 | A | 0.3 | 0 |
| Kingshighway Boulevard \& Schollmeyer Avenue | EB | LT | C | 30.2 | 40 | A | 7.9 | 12 |
|  |  | R | A | 0.3 | 0 | A | 3.1 | 0 |
|  |  | Overall | B | 19.0 | 40 | A | 4.5 | 12 |
|  | NB | TR | A | 6.1 | 141 | A | 6.9 | 113 |
|  |  | Overall | A | 6.1 | 141 | A | 6.9 | 113 |
|  | SB | LT | A | 3.3 | 56 | A | 5.7 | 68 |
|  |  | Overall | A | 3.3 | 56 | A | 5.7 | 68 |
|  | WB | R | A | 0.0 | 0 | A | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  | Overall | A | 0.0 | 0 | A | 0.0 | 0 |
|  | Overall |  | A | 6.0 | 141 | A | 6.0 | 113 |
| Kingshighway | EB | LTR | D | 41.1 | 495 | C | 28.9 | 331 |
| Boulevard \& Gravois | EB | Overall | D | 41.1 | 495 | C | 28.9 | 331 |


| Intersection | Approach | Lane Group | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LOS | Delay (s) | Max. Queue (ft) | LOS | Delay (s) | Max. Queue (ft) |
| Avenue | NB | LTR | C | 25.2 | 11 | C | 25.6 | 23 |
|  |  | Overall | C | 25.2 | 11 | C | 25.6 | 23 |
|  | SB | LT | C | 25.4 | 34 | C | 25.4 | 37 |
|  |  | R | C | 27.4 | 94 | C | 31.1 | 201 |
|  |  | Overall | C | 27.2 | 94 | C | 30.7 | 201 |
|  | WB | TR | B | 16.0 | 162 | B | 19.6 | 227 |
|  |  | Overall | B | 16.0 | 162 | B | 19.6 | 227 |
|  | Overall |  | C | 29.7 | 162 | C | 25.9 | 331 |

## Appendix D: Preferred Alternative Conceptual Design








## Appendix E: Conceptual Design Cost Estimate



| CURB RAMP REPLACEMENT TABLE |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| INTERSECTION | CURB RAMP REPLACEMENT |
| COST |  |$|$| Itaska |
| :--- |
| Delor |
| Walsh |
| Eichelberger |
| E Rosa |
| W Rosa |
| Sigel |
| E Goethe |
| W Goethe |
| E Milentz |
| W Milentz |
| W Rhodes |
| E Rhodes |
| E Holly Hills |
| W Holly Hills |
| Kings |
| Finkman |
| Lisette |
| Alma |
| Gersham |
| E Bonita |
| W Bonita |
| Schollmeyer |

NOTE: THIS ESTIMATE REPRESENTS COST ASSOCIATED WITH REPLACEMENT OF ALL CURB RAMPS AT AN INTERSECTION. PEDESTRIAN SIGNAL UPGRADES ARE NOT INCLUDED.

EXHIBIT B
PAVEMENT MARKING SEGMENTS - PREFERED CONCEPT

| PAVEMENT MARKING SEGMENTS - PREFERED CONCEPT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM | UNIT | SEGMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| REMOVAL | LF | Nottingham to Itaska | Itaska to Walsh | Walsh to Rosa | Rosa to Milentz | Milentz to Holly Hills | Holly Hills to Lisette | Lisette to Bonita | Bonita to Gravois | SUM | UNIT PRICE | COST |
| 4" SOLID YELLOW WATERBORNE PAVEMENT MARKING PAINT TYPE P BEADS | LF | 1,919 | 1,297 | 1,358 | 1,718 | 1,315 | 1,355 | 1,321 | 1,232 | 11,515 | \$0.45 | \$5,181.75 |
| 4" SOLID WHITE WATERBORNE PAVEMENT MARKING PAINT TYPE P BEADS | LF | 3,759 | 3,051 | 2,917 | 4,063 | 2,830 | 3,170 | 2,725 | 2,356 | 24,871 | \$0.45 | \$11,191.95 |
| 4" DASHED YELLOW WATERBORNE PAVEMENT MARKING PAINT TYPE P BEADS | LF | 49 | 324 | 129 | 430 | 171 | 339 | 330 | 36 | 1,808 | \$0.45 | \$813.60 |
| 4" DASHED WHITE WATERBORNE PAVEMENT MARKING PAINT TYPE P BEADS | LF | 78 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39 | 117 | \$0.45 | \$52.65 |
| 4" SHORT-DASHED WHITE WATERBORNE PAVEMENT MARKING PAINT TYPE P BEADS | LF | 79 | 158 | 243 | 170 | 167 | 167 | 86 | 78 | 1,148 | \$0.45 | \$516.60 |
| 4" SHORT-DASHED GREEN WATERBORNE PAVEMENT MARKING PAINT TYPE P BEADS | LF | 38 |  | 45 | 30 | 23 |  | 35 | 33 | 204 | \$0.70 | \$142.80 |
| 8" SOLID WHITE WATERBORNE PAVEMENT MARKING PAINT TYPE P BEADS | LF | 449 | 255 | 303 | 421 | 316 | 374 | 224 | 203 | 2,545 | \$0.95 | \$2,417.75 |
| 12" SOLID YELLOW | LF | 16 |  |  |  | 38 |  |  |  | 54 | \$1.75 | \$94.50 |
| 12" SOLID WHITE | LF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | \$1.75 | \$0.00 |
| 24" WHITE STOP BAR / CROSSWALK | LF | 545 |  | 559 | 80 | 410 |  |  | 357 | 1,951 | \$5.50 | \$10,730.50 |
| GREEN BIKE PATH PAINT | SY | 436 |  | 116 |  | 44 |  | 69 | 106 | 771 | \$18.00 | \$13,885.00 |
| ARROW SYMBOLS | EA | 12 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 40 | \$180.00 | \$7,200.00 |
| BIKE LANE SYMBOLS | EA | 9 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 37 | \$190.00 | \$7,030.00 |




[^0]:    *Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

